

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/045,771	01/10/2002	Rolf-Jurgen Recknagel	10191/2145	3064
75	90 11/25/2003		EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON			FLEMING, FAYE M	
One Broadway New York, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3616	
			DATE MAILED: 11/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		\rightarrow \sim				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/045,771	RECKNAGEL, ROLF-JURGEN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Faye Fleming	3616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 J</u>	<u>luly 2003</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-7</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8 and 9</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	n nriadty under 25 LLS C & 110/a	\				
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
, == ,	s have been received					
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	,,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal f	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/045,771

Art Unit: 3616

4

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed July 17, 2003 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1), which requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 4 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paranjpe (6,339,369) in view of Meyer, et al(5,435,409).

Paranjpe teaches a sensor 4 (see Col. 4, lines 14-22; Col. 5, lines 1-5) for determining a side section deformation wherein the sensor includes a distance sensor 171 and a control unit 140 for evaluating sensor signals and detecting a side impact as a function of distance. The distance decreases in response to the side impact. Paranjpe teaches the claimed invention except for a reflector and a stiffening pipe. Meyer, et al teaches a reflector 3 connected to a stiffening pipe 2. Based on the teachings of Meyer, et al, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/045,771

Art Unit: 3616

-6

invention was made to modify the device of Paranjpe to include a reflector and a stiffening pipe to provide a safety device for the protection of vehicle occupants.

4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paranipe (6,339,369) in view of Breed (6,209,909).

Breed teaches a sensor for determining a side section deformation wherein the sensor includes a distance sensor and a control unit for evaluating sensor signals and detecting a side impact as a function of distance (see Col. 7, lines 35-60; Col. 10, line 65-Col. 11, line 1). Breed teaches an optical sensor wherein the displacement of an object can be calculated wherein the calculation would include a distance (see Col. 9, lines 18-34). Based on the teachings of Breed, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Paranjpe to provide an optical sensor to ensure sight of an object upon a collision.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 5-7 allowed.
- 6. Claims 8 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3616

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references cited each discloses some features in common with the present invention such as a device for detecting a side impact of a vehicle or an energy absorbing device for the side of a vehicle.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Faye Fleming whose telephone number is (703) 305-0209. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on (703) 308-2089. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Faye Fleming Examiner

Art Unit 3616